

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/788,432	Applicant(s) PEACOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner KATHERINE SALMON	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.

(2) Frank Eisenchenk.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A letter requesting a suspension of prosecution for 3 months was entered on 2/11/2008. Mr. Eisenchenk was called to confirm that no supplemental amendment was made for the pending claims.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Katherine Salmon/
Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)